# Eigenvalue solvers based on TT and QTT formats

Olga Lebedeva

#### Institute of Numerical Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences

May 3, 2010

Olga Lebedeva Eigenvalue solvers based on TT and QTT formats

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二座

We consider a standard partial eigenproblem

$$MX = X\Lambda$$
 (1)

for a symmetric positive-definite matrix  $M = M^T > 0$ .

We wish to approximate k = 5...30 lowest eigenpairs  $(X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}, \Lambda \text{ is a diagonal matrix}).$ 

The matrix and its eigenvectors are supposed to posses an approximate low-parametric structure based on the QTT-decomposition.

# QTT-format

Let  $n = 2^d$ . For a vector

Separate indexes: replace a "long" index i = 1...2<sup>d</sup> with a set of "short" indexes i<sub>1</sub>,..., i<sub>d</sub> = 1,2

Apply the TT-approximation



$$X_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} \approx \sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{d-1}}^{r_1,\ldots,r_{d-1}} g_1(i_1,\alpha_1)g_2(\alpha_1,i_2,\alpha_2)\ldots g_{d-1}(\alpha_{d-2},i_{d-1},\alpha_{d-1})g_d(\alpha_{d-1},i_d)$$

The same way for a matrix

$$M\approx \sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{d-1}}^{R_1,\ldots,R_{d-1}} G_1(i_1,j_1,\alpha_1)G_2(\alpha_1,i_2,j_2,\alpha_2)\ldots G_d(\alpha_{d-1},i_d,j_d).$$

If all mode ranks  $r_i$  are bounded the required memory is  $O(\log n)$ !

# Required linear algebra operations

TT-Toolbox http://spring.inm.ras.ru/osel

Matrix-by-vector multiplication

$$r_i(Mx) = R_i(M)r_i(x);$$

Linear combinations of vectors

$$r_i(\alpha x + \beta y) = r_i(x) + r_i(y);$$

Scalar multiplication of vectors.

- + Run-time  $O(\log(n))$
- + QTT-format remains
- Ranks grow!

(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)

# Compression procedures

For a given vector x in QTT-format to obtain a QTT-approximation with smaller mode ranks.

Rank minimization with a fixed tolerance

$$\|x - P_{\varepsilon}x\| < \varepsilon \|x\|$$

Strict boundaries for mode ranks

$$r_i(P_r x) \leqslant r_{\max}, \ i = 1, \dots, d-1$$

Combination

$$P_{r\varepsilon}x = \begin{cases} P_{\varepsilon}x, \ r_{i}\left(P_{\varepsilon}x\right) \leqslant r_{\max} \forall i \\ P_{r}x, \ r_{q}\left(P_{\varepsilon}x\right) > r_{\max} \end{cases}$$

Also,

- Krylov-based compression procedures for Mx;
- ALS-based compression procedures for  $\sum_i x_i$ .

TT-Toolbox http://spring.inm.ras.ru/osel

(本間) (本語) (本語) (語)

Suppose we have an iterative method

$$x_{i+1} = F(x_i), \ \|x_0\| = 1, \ (x_0, u) \neq 0$$
(2)

with linear covergence rate

$$\|x_{i+1}-u\| \leq \gamma \|x_i-u\| \ (\gamma < 1).$$

We will replace all vectors by their normalized QTT-approximations:

$$\tilde{x}_{i+1} = \frac{P(F(\tilde{x}_i))}{\|P(F(\tilde{x}_i))\|}, \ \tilde{x}_0 = x_0.$$
(3)

This may lead to divergence.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ◆ ● ◆ ◆ ● ◆

Let L be some abstract set of structured vectors, that includes the solution u. P is a projector to L, such that

$$\forall x: x \neq 0 \Rightarrow P(x) \neq 0$$

(for QTT-format 
$$L_r = \{x \mid rank_i(x) \leq r, i = 1...d - 1\}$$
)

'Approximate iterations for structured matrices' Wolfgang Hackbusch, Boris N. Khoromskij, Eugene E. Tyrtyshnikov

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへの

# Convergence theory. Generalization

We can also consider  $L_{\|\cdot\|} = \{x \mid x \in L, \|x\| = 1\}$  and a projector  $P_{\|\cdot\|}$  to  $L_{\|\cdot\|}$ .

#### Lemma

Assume that the inclusion  $u \in L$  implies that  $\alpha u \in L$  for all  $\alpha$ . Let the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  be generated by a scalar product. Then, the relation

$$P_{\|\cdot\|}(x) = \frac{P(x)}{\|P(x)\|}$$

holds for every  $x \neq 0$ .

The modified method (3) will be represented as

$$\tilde{x}_{i+1} = P_{\|\cdot\|}(F(\tilde{x}_i)), \ \tilde{x}_0 = x_0.$$
 (4)

The covergence can be proved for several cases.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

### Theorem 1

Let the convergence factor of the original linear method (2) satisfy the condition  $\gamma < 1/2$ . Assume that the only requirements for the initial approximation  $x_0$  are the normalization condition and the nonorthogonality to the exact solution  $u \in L$ . Then, with the same initial approximation, the modified iterative method (4) converges to the exact solution linearly with the convergence factor  $\tilde{\gamma} \leq 2\gamma$ .

### Corollary

Assume that the original iterative method (2) converges linearly with the convergence factor  $\gamma < 1/2$  until the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of u is attained, where  $\delta < \sqrt{2}/2$ . Also, assume that the only requirements for the initial approximation  $x_0$  are the normalization condition and the nonorthogonality to the exact solution. Then, with the same initial approximation, the modified iterative method (4) converges to the exact solution u linearly with the convergence factor  $\tilde{\gamma} \leq 2\gamma$  until the  $2\delta$ -neighborhood of the solution is attained.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 - のへで

Inexact case:  $\rho(u, L) > 0$ .

### Theorem 2

Let the exact solution u belong to the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of a structured vector; that is, let  $||P(u) - u|| < \delta$ , where  $\delta < \sqrt{3}/2$ . Assume that the original iterative method (2) converges linearly with the convergence factor  $\gamma < 1/3$ . Also, assume that the only requirements for the initial approximation  $x_0$  are the normalization condition and the nonorthogonality to the exact solution. Then, with the same initial approximation, the modified iterative method (4) converges to the exact solution u linearly with the convergence factor  $\tilde{\gamma} \leq 3\gamma$  until the  $3\delta$ -neighborhood of u is attained. If the iterations are continued further, the process may diverge; however, the subsequent approximations do not leave the  $3\delta$ -neighborhood of the solution.

# Convergence theorems

### And what if $\gamma > 1/2(1/3)$ ?

- Start with structured x<sub>0</sub>;
- ► Implement k − 1 non-modified iterations;
- At the k-th iteration perform the appriximation:

$$x_1 = F(x_0), \ldots, x_{k-1} = F(x_{k-2}), x_k = \frac{P(F(x_{k-1}))}{\|P(F(x_{k-1}))\|}$$
(5)

Repeate the approximation periodically at each k-th step.

(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)

### Theorem 3

Assume that the exact solution u belongs to the set L. Let  $k > log_{1/\gamma}2$  ( $log_{1/\gamma}3$ ). Then, method (5) converges linearly with the average (over k steps) convergence factor  $\tilde{\gamma} \leq 2^{1/k}\gamma$  ( $3^{1/k}\gamma$ ).

### Corollary

Assume that the exact solution u belongs to the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of a structured vector from the set L; that is, assume  $||u - P(u)|| < \delta$ , where  $\delta < \sqrt{2}/3$ . Let  $k > \log_{1/\gamma} 2$ . Then, method (5) with the approximation performed at each k-th step converges linearly with the average (over k steps) convergence factor  $\tilde{\gamma} \leq 3^{1/k} \gamma$  until the  $3\delta$ -neighborhood of the exact solution is attained.

Consider operator  $P_{\varepsilon}$  that minimizes QTT-ranks under the condition

 $\|P_{\varepsilon}(x)-x\|\leqslant \varepsilon\|x\|$ 

 $P_{\varepsilon}$  is not projector for any set L sinse  $P_{\varepsilon}(P_{\varepsilon}(x)) \neq P_{\varepsilon}(x)$ .

### Theorem 4

Assume that original method (2) converges linearly with the convergence factor  $\gamma < 1/3$ . Also, assume that the only requirements for the initial approximation  $x_0$  are the normalization condition and the nonorthogonality to the exact solution. Let  $\varepsilon < \sqrt{2}/3$ . Then, with the same initial approximation, the  $\varepsilon$ -modified iterative method (3) converges linearly with the convergence until the  $3\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of u is attained. If the iterations are continued further, the process may diverge; however, the subsequent approximations do not leave the  $3\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the solution.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ◆ ● ◆ ◆ ● ◆

# Convergence theorems

Consider operator  $P_{\varepsilon,r}$ :

$$P_{\varepsilon,r}(x) = P_r(P_{\varepsilon}(x))$$

Also

$$P_{r\varepsilon}x = \begin{cases} P_{\varepsilon}x, \ r_i(P_{\varepsilon}x) \leqslant r_{\max} \forall i \\ P_rx, \ r_q(P_{\varepsilon}x) > r_{\max} \end{cases}$$

### Theorem 5

Assume that original method (2) converges linearly with the convergence factor  $\gamma < 1/3$ . Also, assume that the only requirements for the initial approximation  $x_0$  are the normalization condition and the nonorthogonality to the exact solution. Let  $\varepsilon < \sqrt{2}/3$ . Then, with the same initial approximation, the modified iterative method (3) with projector  $P_{\varepsilon,r}(x)$  converges linearly with the convergence until the  $3\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of u is attained. If the iterations are continued further, the process may diverge; however, the subsequent approximations do not leave the  $3\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the solution.

# The Rayleigh quotient

### The scalar case

$$\lambda_{\min} = \min_{x \neq 0} \frac{(Mx, x)}{(x, x)}$$
$$u_{\min} = \arg \min_{x \neq 0} \frac{(Mx, x)}{(x, x)}$$

Subspace approach

$$U = \arg \min_{X^T X = I^{k \times k}} \operatorname{tr}(X^T M X)$$
$$\min_{X^T X = I^{k \times k}} \operatorname{tr}(X^T M X) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$$

(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)

# The tensor conjugate gradient method in the scalar case

$$\min_{x\in X_i}\frac{(Mx,x)}{(x,x)}$$

How to construct the subspaces  $X_i$ ?

The gradient-type methods.

since

$$\nabla \frac{(Mx,x)}{(x,x)} = \frac{2}{(Mx,x)} \left( Mx - \frac{(Mx,x)}{(x,x)} x \right) = \frac{2}{(Mx,x)} r(x)$$

we search for  $x_{i+1}$  in span  $(x_i, r_i)$ :

$$x_{i+1} = \arg\min_{x \in \operatorname{span}(x_i, r_i)} \frac{(Mx, x)}{(x, x)}$$

(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)

The conjugate gradient-type methods.

Minimization within span  $(x_i, r_i, x_i - x_{i-1})$ :

$$x_{i+1} = \arg \min_{x \in \text{span}(x_i, r_i, x_{i-1})} \frac{(Mx, x)}{(x, x)}, \ \lambda_i = \frac{(Mx_{i+1}, x_{i+1})}{(x_{i+1}, x_{i+1})}$$

'Toward the optimal preconditioned eigensolver: locally optimal block preconditioned conjugate gradient method' Andrew V. Knyazev

Note that

$$\min_{x \in \operatorname{span}(x_i, r_i, x_{i-1})} \frac{(Mx, x)}{(x, x)} \leqslant \min_{x \in \operatorname{span}(x_i, r_i)} \frac{(Mx, x)}{(x, x)}$$

(日) (四) (王) (王) (王) (王)

# The tensor conjugate gradient method. Convergance

Steepest decrease One-step method

$$\mathsf{x}_{i+1} = \mathsf{F}(\mathsf{x}_i)$$

Modified version

 $\tilde{x}_{i+1} = P(F(\tilde{x}_i))$ 

Conjugate gradient Two-step method

$$x_{i+1} = G(x_i, x_{i-1})$$

Modified version

$$\tilde{x}_{i+1} = P(G(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_{i-1}))$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 三日 - のへの

Since  $||G(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_{i-1}) - u|| \leq ||F(\tilde{x}_i) - u||$  we obtain

 $\tilde{\gamma}^{\textit{cg}}\leqslant \tilde{\gamma}^{\textit{sd}}\leqslant 2\gamma^{\textit{sd}}$   $(3\gamma^{\textit{sd}})$ 

# The tensor conjugate gradient method. Scalar version

### Algorithm

• Choose initial  $x_0$ ,  $||x_0|| = 1$ 

• 
$$\lambda_0 = (Mx_0, x_0), r_0 = (Mx_0 - \lambda_0 x_0)/||Mx_0 - \lambda_0 x_0||$$

Rayleigh-Ritz procedure for span  $(x_0, r_0)$ . Get the lowest Ritz value  $\lambda_1$  and the corresponding Ritz vector  $x_1 = [x_0, r_0]y$ .

• 
$$r_1 = (Mx_1 - \lambda_1 x_1)/||Mx_1 - \lambda_1 x_1||$$

• Introduce 
$$p_1 = [0, r_0]y = x_1 - (x_0, x_1)x_0$$

#### Main loop:

 Rayleigh-Ritz procedure for span (x<sub>i</sub>, r<sub>i</sub>, p<sub>i</sub>). Get the lowest Ritz value λ<sub>i+1</sub> and the corresponding Ritz vector x<sub>i+1</sub> = [x<sub>i</sub>, r<sub>i</sub>, p<sub>i</sub>]y.

• 
$$r_{i+1} = (Mx_{i+1} - \lambda_{i+1}x_{i+1})/||Mx_{i+1} - \lambda_{i+1}x_{i+1}||$$

• 
$$p_{i+1} = [0, r_i, p_i]y$$

Simultanious searching for k lowest eigenpairs.

- ▶ If k is rather large, we split the task and use deflations.
- k current approximations of eigenvectors are the columns of an orthogonal n × k matrix X<sub>i</sub>.
- The Rayleigh-Ritz procedure for 3k-dimensional subspace span (X<sub>i</sub>, R<sub>i</sub>, P<sub>i</sub>).
   Obtaining k Ritz vectors.
- The columns of  $R_i$ ,  $P_i$  should be orthogonalized.
- The columns of  $R_i$ ,  $P_i$  are no longer orthogonal to the columns of  $X_i$ .

# The tensor conjugate gradient method. Block version

### Algorithm

- Choose initial  $X_0$ :  $X_0^T X_0 = I^{k \times k}$
- $\blacktriangleright \Lambda_0 = \operatorname{diag} (X_0^T M X_0), \ R_0 = M X_0 X_0 \Lambda_0$
- Orthogonalization of R<sub>0</sub>
- Rayleigh-Ritz procedure for span (X<sub>0</sub>, R<sub>0</sub>). Get the k lowest Ritz values Λ<sub>1</sub> and the corresponding Ritz vectors X<sub>1</sub>.
- $R_1 = MX_1 X_1\Lambda_1$  Orthogonalize of  $R_1$
- Introduce  $P_1 = R_0$
- Main loop

# The tensor conjugate gradient method. Block version

### Main loop

- Rayleigh-Ritz procedure for span (X<sub>i</sub>, R<sub>i</sub>, P<sub>i</sub>)
   Get the k lowest Ritz values Λ<sub>i+1</sub>
   and the corresponding Ritz vectors X<sub>i+1</sub> = [X<sub>i</sub>, R<sub>i</sub>, P<sub>i</sub>]Y
- ►  $R_{i+1} = MX_{i+1} X_{i+1}\Lambda_{i+1}$  $(R_{i+1})_{(j)} \perp (X_{i+1})_{(j)}$ , but span  $(R_{i+1})$  and span  $(X_{i+1})$  are not orthogonal
- Apply deflation to  $R_{i+1}$ :  $R_{i+1} = (I XX^T)R_{i+1}$
- Orthogonalize the columns of R<sub>i+1</sub>
- $P_{i+1} = [0, R_i, P_i]Y$
- Orthogonalize the columns of  $P_{i+1}$

# The tensor conjugate gradient method. Block QTT version

### Main loop

- Rayleigh-Ritz procedure for span (X<sub>i</sub>, R<sub>i</sub>, P<sub>i</sub>) Get the k lowest Ritz values Λ<sub>i+1</sub> and the Ritz vectors in QTT-format X<sub>i+1</sub> = [X<sub>i</sub>, R<sub>i</sub>, P<sub>i</sub>]Y Ranks grow
- ► Compress the columns of *X*<sub>*i*+1</sub>
- $R_{i+1} = MX_{i+1} X_{i+1}\Lambda_{i+1}$ Ranks grow
- Apply deflation to  $R_{i+1}$ :  $R_{i+1} = (I XX^T)R_{i+1}$  Ranks grow
- Orthogonalize the columns of R<sub>i+1</sub> Ranks grow
- ► Compress the columns of *R*<sub>*i*+1</sub>
- $P_{i+1} = [0, R_i, P_i]Y$  Ranks grow
- Orthogonalize the columns of P<sub>i+1</sub> Ranks grow
- ► Compress the columns of *P*<sub>*i*+1</sub>

(日) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Block operations such as orthogonalization, block-by-matrix multiplication lead to the additional increase of tensor ranks of vectors.

Label the number of column in the block j an additional dimension of tensor. Apply TT-decomposition to this tensor:

$$X_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d),j} \approx \sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{d-1},\alpha}^{r_1,\ldots,r_{d-1},r} g_1(i_1,\alpha_1)\ldots g_d(\alpha_{d-1},i_d,\alpha) g_{d+1}(\alpha,j)$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ◆ ● ◆ ◆ ● ◆





イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン





イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Consider the (i + 1)-th core of each decomposition  $(i = 1 \dots d - 3)$ 



We will put them together in a common core.



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Consider the (i + 1)-th core of each decomposition  $(i = 1 \dots d - 3)$ 



We will put them together in a common core. And compress.



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

-2

- Quick orthogonalization without ranks growth (left-to-right QR sweep);
- Quick orthogonalization with compression (3 sweeps);
- Linear combinations of the columns

$$X_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d),j}W_{j,l}\approx \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \left(\sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{d-1}}^{r_1,\ldots,r_{d-1}}g_1(i_1,\alpha_1)\ldots g_d(\alpha_{d-1},i_d)\right)g_{d+1}(\alpha,j)W(j,l);$$

- Block operations  $X^T Y$ , MX;
- Cut a column, add a column, and others.

Residual norm

 $\|\mathbf{r}_i^{it}\|/\lambda_i^{it}\leqslant \varepsilon_1$ 

(since  $|(Mx_i^{it}, x_i^{it})/(x_i^{it}, x_i^{it}) - \lambda_i| \leq ||r_i||$ )

Eigenvalue difference

$$|\lambda_i^{it+1} - \lambda_i^{it}| / \lambda_i^{it} \leqslant \varepsilon_2.$$

# Numerical experiments

Finite difference or finite element discretizations in the following domains

### 2-dimensional case



### 3-dimensional case

Parallelepipeds, polyhedral regions, their unions and intersections

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

# Numerical experiments

Average iteration time



Discrete Laplace operator in 2-dimensional rectangular domain  $n \times n$  ( $n = 2^d$ , d = 10, ..., 20) vector length  $n^2$  ( $2^{20}$ ,  $2^{22}$ , ...,  $2^{40}$ ), block size 5,  $r_{max} = 25$ 

Olga Lebedeva Eigenvalue solvers based on TT and QTT formats

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

# Numerical examples

### Harmonic oscillator

$$-\Delta u + \|r\|^2 u = \lambda u$$

in 3-dimensional cube  $[0,1]\times[0,1]\times[0,1],$  homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions.

 $n = 2^5$  points each direction ( $N = 2^{15}$ )



Olga Lebedeva Eigenvalue solvers based on TT and QTT formats

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

### Anharmonic oscillator

$$-\Delta u + (\alpha_1 x^2 + \alpha_2 y^2 + \alpha_3 x^4 + \alpha_4 y^4 + \alpha_5 x^2 y^2)u = \lambda u \ (\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$$

Discretization in 2-dimensional rectangular domain  $[-5, 5] \times [-5, 5]$  $(n = 2^d, d = 6, ..., 10)$ 

Harmonic oscillator

$$-\Delta u + \alpha_1 (x^2 + y^2) u = \lambda u$$

We used the solution of harmonic oscillator as an initial approximation for anharmonic oscillator.

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二座

### Anharmonic oscillator

$$-\Delta u + (\alpha_1 x^2 + \alpha_2 y^2 + \alpha_3 x^4 + \alpha_4 y^4 + \alpha_5 x^2 y^2)u = \lambda u \ (\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$$

Discretization in 2-dimensional rectangular domain  $[-5, 5] \times [-5, 5]$  $(n = 2^d, d = 6, \dots, 10)$ 

Harmonic oscillator

$$-\Delta u + \alpha_1 (x^2 + y^2) u = \lambda u$$

We used the solution of harmonic oscillator as an initial approximation for anharmonic oscillator.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Covergence of eigenvalues under the mesh size.

	$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\lambda_3$	$\lambda_4$	$\lambda_5$	$\lambda_6$
d = 3	1.9486	3.4531	3.4609	5.8688	5.9841	5.9887
d = 4	2.0690	4.1186	4.1200	6.0632	6.1612	6.1688
d = 5	2.1142	4.2444	4.2553	6.3544	6.3640	6.4955
d = 6	2.1172	4.2698	4.2765	6.4156	6.4212	6.5783
d = 7	2.1210	4.2815	4.2879	6.4421	6.4459	6.6039
d = 8	2.1222	4.2870	4.2906	6.3371	6.4657	6.6173

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ●臣

### Thank you for your attention!

(ロ) (四) (E) (E) (E)